UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,653	02/06/2004	Anil Kamath	111210-134991	7631
25943 Schwabe Willia	7590 01/27/2019 mson & Wvatt		EXAMINER	
PACWEST CE	NTER, SUITE 1900		MANSFIELD, THOMAS L	
1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,653	KAMATH, ANIL
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS MANSFIELD	3624
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Nor</u>	n-final rejection
(1) THOMAS MANSFIELD, Examiner.	(3) A. Kamath, Applicant.	
(2) R. Fox, R. Barr, A. AuYeung, Applicant's Rep.'s.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 January 2010	Time: 2pm EST	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 7, 8, and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Thomas Mansfield/ Examiner, Art Unit 3624 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(II F	C 11 -F -/

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Mansfield initiated an interview to discuss possible amendments to independent Claim 1 to possibly overcome the current cited prior art of record. Mr. Mansfield suggested incorporating the claim limitations of dependent Claims 7 and 8 into Claim 1 to further define the "solving the objective function" limitation. Mr. Mansfield also suggested adding another limitation that refers to dependent Claim 9's "constraints". Additionally, Mr. Mansfield indicated that the last limitation within Claim 1 seems vague and indefinite because the result is not exactly known. No allowable subject matter was discussed or agreed during the interview..